DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

D.C. 20548 WASHINGTON.

98833

FILE:

B-176994

DATE:

JUN 14 1976

MATTER OF:

Department of Agriculture - Amended regulations regarding free and reduced-price meals in Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands

DIGEST:

This Office has no objection to a proposed regulatory amendment by the Department of Agriculture which will provide that under the National School Lunch Program and the School Breakfast Program if all children in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands (or any other State as defined by the relevant statutes) are receiving free meals, no application for eligibility need be taken from individual families and Pederal payments will be made upon a basis determined by the Department with the concurrence of the Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands (or other State) educational agencies.

This decision is in response to a request by the Assistant Secretary of Agriculture seeking our concurrence in a nethod of computing the amount of Pederal assistance to be paid to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands for free and reduced-price lunches and breakfasts served pursuant to the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. § 1751 at sen.) and section 4 of the Chill Natvition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. § 1775). Both of the above Acts and Aministered by the Food and Mutrition Service (FMS) of the Department of Agriculture (USDA).

In his submission the Assista a Scoretary a wises that the procedure currently employed in Puents Theorems in Airgin Islands is to provide free lunches and break that the all diddren in school, without regard to the economic and of the medical sensor, the extent necessary, these jurismining in the cun funds to supplement the Rederal assistance in a provider that Rico and the Virgin Islands are defined as Status for the process of those Acts.

Since the same terms and conditions of Magibility apply to both the school lunch program and the ment heart program, the same analyses and conclusions are a pailly applicable to both programs. Therefore, for convenience, we will conflict our discussion to the specific provisions of the National School Lunch Act.

Appropriations to carry out the school lunch program are authorized by 42 U.S.C. 1752. Under 42 U.S.C. 1753, it is provided that except for a small portion not here applicable, the sums appropriated are available for supplying agricultural commodities and other food for the program. The Secretary of Agriculture makes these food assistance payments in a total amount equal to the result obtained by multiplying the number of lunches served during such fiscal year to children in schools in a given State which participate in the school lunch program, by a national average payment per lunch (which shall not be less than 10 cents per lunch).

Section 9 of the National School Lunch Act, 42 U.S.C. 1758, as amended, establishes eligibility criteria for free and reduced price lunches. Prior to the amendment of that section by Pub. L. No. 92-433, September 26, 1972, 86 Stat. 729, the provisions of section 9 provided that meals would be provided without cost or at a reduced cost to children who are determined by local school authorities to be unable to pay the full cost of the lunch and that such determinations would be made by applying criteria which at a minimum were required to include the level of family income, including welfare grants, the number in the family unit, and the number of children in the family unit attending school or service institutions.

Pub. L. No. 92-433, supra, amended that portion of this section to state as follows:

"Any child who is a member of a household which has an annual income not above the applicable family-size income local set first in the income poverty guidelines prescribed by the Secretary shall be served a disea lynch." /2
U.S.C. 1758(b) (Supp. IV, 1974)

In making this change the Congress translated the discretion of local authorities to establish additional and gibility criteria and established uniform national criteria. Thereafter, each State educational agency prescribes the include guidelines, by family size, to be used by schools in the Marie in making determinations of those children eligible for a free lunch. Additional guidelines are to be promulgated to prescribe income guidelines by family size, for those children eligible for lunch at a reduced price if a school elects to serve reduced-price lunches.

Section 9 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 1758(b) (Supp. IV, 1974), further provides in pertinent part:

"Local school authorities shall publicly announce such income guidelines on or about the opening of school each fiscal year and shall make determinations with respect to the annual incomes of any household solely on the basis of a statement executed www by an adult receiver of such household. No physical segregation of or other discrimination against any child eligible for a free lunch or a reduced-price lunch shall be made by the school nor shall there be any overt identification of any child by special tokens or tickets, announced or published lists of names ** * *." (Underscoring supplied.)

The above quoted portion of section 9, although slightly amended by Pab. L. No. 92-433, sugra, is substantially the same as it was before the enactment of that Act.

The previsions of 42 U.S.C. 1759a(a), (Sepp. IV, 1974), provide for additional special assistance payments in an amount equal to the swa of the product obtained by multiplying the number of lunches served free to children eligible for such lunches in schools within that State by the special-assistance factor for free lunches prescribed by the Secretary. A similar calculation is made for reduced-price lunches.

Prior to the enactment of Pab. L. No. 92-433, regulations (since repealed) published at 7 C.F.R. § 245.6, entitled "Applications for free and reduced-price lunches," provided:

o(c) In providing free or reduced-price lunches to eligible children, the school-food authority need not require the submission of an application if elternative methods will expedite eligibility determinations. The school-food authority may determine that the children, or certain categories of children, automatically meet the school's eligibility standards. In such latter event, it shall include information

to this effect in the letter or notice to parents, distributed in accordance with § 245.5, and advise parents of such children that an application is not required."

These regulations were clearly directed at identifying children who qualify for free lunches. After the enactment of Pub. L. No. 92-433, the reference to categorical determinations in the regulations was deleted. See 38 F.R. 4409, 4411 (February 14, 1973).

We might also note that current regulations continue to provide that local school authorities may fill out an income certification for a child in the absence of a parental certificate where independent information available to such authorities establishes the child's eligibility for either free or reduced-price meals, 7 C.F.R. § 245.6(c) (1975). Thus, it appears that the Department has consistently taken the position that a statement executed by an adult household member is not required in every instance.

In his submission the Assistant Secretary states that despite the change in regulations the Food and Nutrition Service has continued to allow Fuerto Rico and the Virgin Islands to make claims for reimbursement for free and reduced-price meals on the basis in effect prior to the amendment of the regulations. He contends that:

"It is the Department's position that the intent of section 9 is solely to specify a method to determine eligibility of children for a free or reduced-price meal. When, as in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. all children are receiving free meals, we believe it is unnecessary to make the determination as to the eligibility of any particular child. All that is necessery is to determine the amount which the Department is obligated to pay to the State educational agency in reimbursement for meals which qualify for a special assistance rate, i.e., meals served to children who would be eligible under the Department's regulations for a free or reduced price meal. We believe that the statistical method to be developed in Puerto Nico and the Virgin Islands will result in a valid determination of the number of eligible meals.

"We, therefore, propose to amend our regulations to provide that in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands no application need be taken from individual families and that the Federal payments will be made upon a basis determined by the Department, with the concurrence of the State educational agencies. We propose to limit this method of determining reimbursement to Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands because of the particular administrative difficulty in those areas of taking applications from a large number of families, the majority of whom would qualify for either a free or a reduced-price meal. We believe they are areas particularly suitable for the use of a statistical sampling procedure."

The statutory and regulatory provisions discussed above deal, in our view, with determinations of the eligibility of individual children for free and reduced-price lunches. The portion of section 9 first quoted above states the thrust of that section -that children coming from households below a certain income level shall receive a free most. The remainder of the section, quoted in part shove, relate to ascertaining eligibility. Among other things, these provisions require that eligibility be determined " solely on the basis of family size and income and that the determination of the assumt of household income will be based solely on the contificate of an adult member thereof. From the context of the latter part of this section, 42 U.S.C. 8 1758(b), which we believe was primarily intended to govern the conduct of local school officials to assure that no eligible child will be denied a free or reduced-price lunch and that peither be por his family will be subjected to embarrassment and/or harassment as the result of such eligibility, it appears to us that the requirement that household income be determined solely on the certificate of an adult member was not intended to require that an application be made in every case but to assure that, without cause, the local school authorities would not challenge the certificate. regard we note that the legislative history of these provisions shows that the primary congressional concern was that some otherwise eligible children were not being given free meals, because of inconsistent State criteria rather than that seme incligible children might be gotting free meals. Further, as noted above, the Department has consistently held that a certificate was not. needed when school authorities had independent information of a child's cligibility and an application would be either unnecessary or difficult to obtain (resulting perhaps in denial of such meals to eligible children).

The provisions relating to payment of special assistance funds are separate and apart from the aforementioned provisions relating to the procedures and criteria for determining individual eligibility for free or reduced-price lunches. The Federal payment is based on the number of lunches served free or at reduced-prices to eligible children, but there is no statutory directive for determining the number of such meals served.

Normally, it appears to us, the income statements executed by family members (or in special cases by local school authorities) not only assure that all eligible children receive their meals but also assist in ascertaining the exact number of children qualifying for such usals. The issue, as we see it, is whether these certificates are required in all circumstances to determine the enount of the Federal payment.

of Puerto kico and the Virgin Islands value unusual administrative difficulties in attempting to obtain percental certifications and that they are areas perticularly suitable for the use of statistical sumpling procedures. He notes that based on preliminary results of a curvey new being conducted, the number of Eucrto Rican school children eligible, the number of Eucrto Rican school children eligible, the number of ended population with those eligible for reduced-price meals encompassing another 9 percent. The Department, in cooperation with Peerto Rica and the Virgin Islands, established the statistical base to be used.

Incofar as each child in these two jurisdictions who would be eligible for free or reduced-price mosts will be provided (along with all their classmates) with free mosts, the use of income certifications to assure that all children eligible for free or reduced-price mosts will receive them is clearly not necessary. Hence, the only purpose they would carve would be to assist in determining the actual number of eligible children which would then be used to extrapolate the number of free and reduced-price mosts served by the actual, on the number of such mosts served and not on the total number of free or reduced-price mosts served without regard to climibility.

Accordingly, if the Secretary of Agriculture determines that he can ascertain, with sufficient accuracy, the number of meals served which are entitled to special assistance fund payments pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1759a (Supp. IV, 1974) without the need for income certifications from members of individual households, we will not interpose any objection to the use of statistical sampling procedures as set forth by the Assistant Secretary with respect to the particular and unusual circumstances presented by Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands—or any other State, as defined by these Acts—in which all school children are provided with free meals.

R.F. KELLER

Active Comptroller General of the United States